

# Symmetries in Physics

## Lecture 7

Victor E. Ambruş

Universitatea de Vest din Timișoara

# Lecture contents

## Chapter 2. Continuous symmetry groups

- ▶ II.1. Abelian groups:  $SO(2)$  and  $T(3)$
- ▶ **II.2. The rotation group  $SO(3)$**
- ▶ **II.3. The group  $SU(2)$**
- ▶ II.4. The Euclidean group  $E_3$

# Properties of the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients

- ▶ *Angular momentum selection rule:*  $\langle mm'(jj')JM \rangle = 0$  unless  $m + m' = M$  and  $|j - j'| \leq J \leq j + j'$ .
- ▶ *Orthogonality and completeness:*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m,m'} \langle JM(jj')mm' \rangle \langle mm'(jj')J'M' \rangle &= \delta_J^J \delta_{M'}^M, \\ \sum_{JM} \langle mm'(jj')JM \rangle \langle JM(jj')nn' \rangle &= \delta_n^m \delta_{n'}^{m'}. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

- ▶ *Symmetry relations:*

$$\begin{aligned} \langle mm'(jj')JM \rangle &= (-1)^{j+j'-J} \langle m'm(j'j)JM \rangle \\ &= (-1)^{j+j'-J} \langle -m, -m'(jj')J, -M \rangle \\ &= (-1)^{j-J+m'} \langle M, -m'(Jj')jm \rangle \sqrt{\frac{2J+1}{2j+1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

- ▶ Explicitly, the direct product and irreducible representations are related through:

$$\begin{aligned} D_j(R)^m_n D_{j'}(R)^{m'}_{n'} &= \sum_{J,M,N} \langle mm'(jj')JM \rangle D_J(R)^M_N \langle JN(jj')nn' \rangle, \\ \delta^J_{J'} D_J(R)^M_{M'} &= \sum_{m,m',n,n'} \langle JM(jj')(mm') \rangle D_j(R)^m_n D_{j'}(R)^{m'}_{n'} \langle nn'(jj')J'M' \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

## II.2.12. Direct product decomposition using Young tableaux

- ▶ The decomposition into irreps of the direct product of  $j = 1/2$  representations of  $SO(3)$  [more specifically, of  $SU(2)$ ] can be obtained using Young tableaux, using the following rules:

- ▶  $\square$ :  $j = 1/2$  irrep (of dimension 2);
- ▶  $\underbrace{\square \square \dots \square}_{n \text{ times}}$ :  $j = n/2$  irrep (of dimension  $2j + 1 = n + 1$ );
- ▶  $\begin{array}{c} \square \\ \square \end{array} = 1$ :  $j = 0$  irrep (dimension 1);
- ▶  $\underbrace{\square \square \dots \square}_{n \text{ times}} \times \square = \underbrace{\square \square \dots \square}_{n+1 \text{ times}} + \underbrace{\square \square \dots \square}_{n-1 \text{ times}}$ : product rule.

- ▶ Example:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \underbrace{\square \times \square}_{2 \times 2 = 4} &= \underbrace{\square \square}_{3+1=4} + 1, & \underbrace{\square \times \square \times \square}_{2 \times 2 \times 2 = 8} &= \underbrace{\square \square \square}_{4+2 \times 2 = 8} + 2 \times \square, \\
 \underbrace{\square \times \square \times \square \times \square}_{2 \times 2 \times 2 \times 2 = 16} &= \underbrace{\square \square \square \square}_{5+3 \times 3+2=16} + 3 \times \underbrace{\square \square}_{4} + 2.
 \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

- ▶ The exact decomposition of the direct product basis into constitutive irreps must be performed explicitly, e.g. by explicit construction or using projector operators.

## II.2.13. Irreducible tensors

- ▶ **Def:** A set of operators  $\{O_\lambda^s, \lambda = -s, -s+1, \dots, s\}$  form the components of an *irreducible spherical tensor* of angular momentum  $s$  if  $U(R)O_\lambda^s U(R^{-1}) = \sum_{\lambda'} O_{\lambda'}^s D_s(R)^{\lambda'\lambda}$ .
- ▶ **Theorem:** The comps. of an irreducible sph. tensor satisfy:

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbf{J}^2, O_\lambda^s] &= s(s+1)O_\lambda^s, & [J_3, O_\lambda^s] &= \lambda O_\lambda^s, \\ [J_\pm, O_\lambda^s] &= \sqrt{s(s+1) - \lambda(\lambda \pm 1)} O_{\lambda \pm 1}^s. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

**Proof:** For infinitesimal  $R_l(\delta\psi)$ ,  $U_l O_\lambda^s U_l^{-1} \simeq O_\lambda^s - i\delta\psi [J_l, O_\lambda^s]$  and  $D_s(R)^{\lambda'\lambda} \delta_\lambda^{\lambda'} - i\delta\psi (J_l^s)^{\lambda'\lambda}$ , hence  $[J_l, O_\lambda^s] = O_{\lambda'}^s (J_l^s)^{\lambda'\lambda}$ .

- ▶ **Def:**  $\{A_l, l = 1, 2, 3\}$  are the *Cartesian components of a vector* if  $[J_k, A_l] = i\varepsilon_{klm} A_m$ .
- ▶ **Def:**  $\{T_{l_1 \dots l_n}; l_i = 1, 2, 3\}$  are the components of an  $n$ -th rank tensor if  $[J_k, T_{l_1 \dots l_n}] = i(\varepsilon_{kl_1 m} T_{ml_2 \dots l_n} + \dots + \varepsilon^{kl_n m} T_{l_1 \dots l_{n-1} m})$ .

## II.2.14. Wigner-Eckart theorem

- ▶ According to the W-E theorem,

$$\langle j' m' | O_{\lambda}^s | j m \rangle = \langle j' m' (s, j) \lambda m \rangle \langle j' || O^s || j \rangle, \quad (6)$$

where  $\langle j' || O^s || j \rangle$  is independent of  $m, m'$  and  $\lambda$ .

- ▶ The *selection rules* imply that the matrix elements vanish unless  $|j - s| \leq j' \leq j + s$  and  $m' = \lambda + m$ .
- ▶ The branching ratios are determined completely by C-G coefficients:

$$\frac{\langle j' m' | O_{\lambda}^s | j m \rangle}{\langle j' n' | O_{\sigma}^s | j n \rangle} = \frac{\langle j' m' (s, j) \lambda m \rangle}{\langle j' n' (s, j) \sigma n \rangle}. \quad (7)$$

## Example: Dipole selection rules

- ▶ A particle in a weak external electromagnetic field is described by

$$H = \frac{\pi^2}{2m} + V(r) + qU, \quad \pi = \mathbf{p} - q\mathbf{A}, \quad \mathbf{p} = -i\hbar\nabla. \quad (8)$$

- ▶ Taking  $U = 0$  and the Coulomb gauge,  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} = 0$ , we have

$$H \simeq H_0 + H_I, \quad H_I = -\frac{q}{m}\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{p} = \frac{iq}{\hbar}\mathbf{A} \cdot [\mathbf{x}, H_0]. \quad (9)$$

- ▶ Writing  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}_0 e^{-i(\omega t - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x})} \simeq \mathbf{A}_0 e^{-i\omega t}$  (when  $\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r} \ll 1 \equiv$  dipole approximation, the transition matrix  $T_{i \rightarrow f} = \langle f | H_I | i \rangle$  reduces to

$$\langle f | H_I | i \rangle = -\frac{q\omega_{fi}}{\omega} \mathbf{E} \cdot \langle f | \mathbf{x} | i \rangle, \quad \mathbf{E} = -\partial_t \mathbf{A} = i\omega \mathbf{A}, \quad \hbar\omega_{fi} = E_f - E_i.$$

- ▶ Taking now  $|i\rangle = |nlm\rangle$  and  $|f\rangle = |n'l'm'\rangle$ , W-E gives

$$\langle n'l'm' | X_\lambda^1 | nlm \rangle = \langle l'm' (1l) \lambda m \rangle \langle n'l' || X^1 || nl \rangle. \quad (10)$$

- ▶ If  $\mathbf{E} = E\mathbf{k}$ , then  $\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{x} = EX_0$  and  $m' = m$ .
- ▶ If  $\mathbf{E}$  is in the  $x - y$  plane, then  $m' = m \pm 1$ .
- ▶ From the CG series,  $l' - l = 0$  or  $\pm 1$ .

- Since  $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{p}$ ,  $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{L} = 0$  and

$$\langle lm | \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{L} | lm \rangle = \sum_{l', m'} \langle lm | \mathbf{x} | l' m' \rangle \cdot \langle l' m' | \mathbf{L} | lm \rangle = 0. \quad (11)$$

- Considering that  $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{L} = \sum_{M=-1}^1 (-1)^M X_M^1 L_{-M}^1$ , with

$$X_{-1}^1 = \frac{x - iy}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad X_0^1 = z, \quad X_1^1 = -\frac{x + iy}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad (12)$$

and similarly for  $L_{\lambda}^1$ , we have

$$0 = \sum_{l', m', M} (-1)^M \langle lm(1l') M m' \rangle \langle l' m'(1l) - M, m \rangle \langle l || X^1 || l' \rangle \langle l' || L^1 || l \rangle. \quad (13)$$

- Since  $\langle l' m' | L_{\lambda}^1 | lm \rangle \simeq \delta_{ll'} \delta_{m', m+\lambda}$ , we have

$$0 = \sum_{M=-1}^1 (-1)^M \langle lm(1l) M, m+M \rangle \langle l, m+M(1l) - M, m \rangle \times \langle l || X^1 || l \rangle \langle l || L^1 || l \rangle. \quad (14)$$

- The sum over the CG coefficients is not zero and  $\langle l || L^1 || l \rangle \neq 0 \Rightarrow \langle l || X^1 || l \rangle = 0$ , leading to  $(\mathbf{E} = E\mathbf{k})$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \langle n' l' m' | H_I | n l m \rangle = & -\frac{qE\omega_{fi}}{\omega} [\delta_{l', l+1} \langle l+1 m(1l) 0 m \rangle \langle n' l+1 || X^1 || n l \rangle \\ & + \delta_{l', l-1} \langle l-1 m(1l) 0 m \rangle \langle n' l-1 || X^1 || n l \rangle]. \end{aligned}$$



## II.3. The group $SU(2)$

### II.3.1. Parametrization of $SU(2)$ matrices

- ▶  $SU(2)$  is the group of complex, unitary matrices of size 2.
- ▶ **Theorem:** An arbitrary  $2 \times 2$  unitary matrix can be parametrized as

$$U = e^{i\lambda} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta e^{i\zeta} & -\sin \theta e^{i\eta} \\ \sin \theta e^{-i\eta} & \cos \theta e^{-i\zeta} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \zeta, \eta < 2\pi, \\ 0 &\leq \lambda < \pi, \\ 0 &\leq \theta \leq \pi/2. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

**Proof:** Can be verified by explicit construction. Additionally, a  $2 \times 2$  complex matrix has a total of 8 real dofs, 4 of which are fixed by  $U^\dagger U = E$ . The remaining 4 parametrize Eq. (15) and their ranges is established as follows:

- ▶  $\zeta$  and  $\eta$  cover the entire circle;
- ▶  $\theta$  covers the first quadrant, as the other quadrants can be obtained by changing the sign of either  $\cos \theta$  or  $\sin \theta$  by suitable choices of the phases  $\zeta$  and  $\eta$ ;
- ▶ The overall phase  $\lambda$  covers the upper two quadrants, since  $e^{i\pi} = -1$  can be absorbed in the phases  $e^{i\zeta}$  and  $e^{i\eta}$ .
- ▶ Imposing now  $\det U = 1$  gives  $\lambda = 0 \Rightarrow$  an arbitrary  $SU(2)$  matrix  $A$  can be parametrized using 3 real parameters:  $\theta, \eta$  and  $\zeta$ .

## II.3.2. Relationship to $SO(3)$

- ▶ The  $SU(2)$  matrices and the  $j = 1/2$  representation of  $SO(3)$  matrices,

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta e^{i\zeta} & -\sin \theta e^{i\eta} \\ \sin \theta e^{-i\eta} & \cos \theta e^{-i\zeta} \end{pmatrix}, \quad D^{1/2}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-\frac{i}{2}(\alpha+\gamma)} \cos \frac{\beta}{2} & -e^{-\frac{i}{2}(\alpha-\gamma)} \sin \frac{\beta}{2} \\ e^{\frac{i}{2}(\alpha-\gamma)} \sin \frac{\beta}{2} & e^{\frac{i}{2}(\alpha+\gamma)} \cos \frac{\beta}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (16)$$

are identical provided

$$\theta = \frac{\beta}{2}, \quad \zeta = -\frac{\alpha + \gamma}{2}, \quad \eta = -\frac{\alpha - \gamma}{2}. \quad (17)$$

- ▶ Clearly,  $0 \leq \beta < \pi$ .
- ▶ To fully cover  $SU(2)$ ,  $0 \leq \zeta, \eta < 2\pi$ ,  $0 \leq \alpha < 2\pi$  and  $0 \leq \gamma < 4\pi$ .
- ▶ Since  $\gamma$  covers the circle twice, the  $SU(2)$  matrices form a double-valued rep. of  $SO(3)$ .
- ▶ Any  $SU(2)$  matrix can be represented in the angle-axis p.:

$$A_{\mathbf{n}}(\psi) = e^{-\frac{i}{2}\psi \mathbf{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{\psi}{2} - i \sin \frac{\psi}{2} \cos \theta & -i \sin \frac{\psi}{2} \sin \theta e^{-i\varphi} \\ -i \sin \frac{\psi}{2} \sin \theta e^{i\varphi} & \cos \frac{\psi}{2} + i \sin \frac{\psi}{2} \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}.$$

- ▶ Using Euler angles,  $A(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = e^{-\frac{i}{2}\alpha\sigma_3} e^{-\frac{i}{2}\beta\sigma_2} e^{-\frac{i}{2}\gamma\sigma_3}$ , where

$$A_3(\psi) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-\frac{i}{2}\psi} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\frac{i}{2}\psi} \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_2(\psi) \equiv d_{1/2}(\psi) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{\psi}{2} & -\sin \frac{\psi}{2} \\ \sin \frac{\psi}{2} & \cos \frac{\psi}{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

## II.3.3 Invariant integration measure

- ▶ Integrations over the group elements must be compatible with the rearrangement lemma:

$$\int f(A) d\tau_A = \int f(B^{-1}A') d\tau_{B^{-1}A'} = \int f(B^{-1}A') d\tau_{A'}, \quad (18)$$

with  $A' = BA$ .

- ▶ Using generic  $\{\xi, \eta, \zeta\}$  to parametrize the group elements, we seek a weight function  $\rho$  such that

$$d\tau_A = \rho(\xi, \eta, \zeta) d\xi d\eta d\zeta = \rho(\xi', \eta', \zeta') d\xi' d\eta' d\zeta', \quad (19)$$

where  $\{\xi', \eta', \zeta'\}$  corresponds to  $A' = BA$ , and

$$\frac{\rho(\xi, \eta, \zeta)}{\rho(\xi', \eta', \zeta')} = \frac{\partial(\xi', \eta', \zeta')}{\partial(\xi, \eta, \zeta)}. \quad (20)$$

- ▶ The above equation becomes easy when  $(\xi', \eta', \zeta')$  are linear functions of  $(\xi, \eta, \zeta)$ .
- ▶ The overall normalization is chosen such that  $\int d\tau_A = 1$ .

- Consider the parametrization of an  $SU(2)$  matrix via

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} r_0 - ir_3 & -r_2 - ir_1 \\ r_2 - ir_1 & r_0 + ir_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \det A = r_0^2 + \mathbf{r}^2 = 1. \quad (21)$$

- The real parameters  $r_0, \dots, r_3$  are connected to  $\theta, \zeta, \eta$  and an extra parameter  $r$  via

$$\begin{pmatrix} r_0 \\ r_3 \end{pmatrix} = r \cos \theta \begin{pmatrix} \cos \zeta \\ -\sin \zeta \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \end{pmatrix} = r \sin \theta \begin{pmatrix} \sin \eta \\ -\cos \eta \end{pmatrix}, \quad (22)$$

such that  $\det A = r^2 = 1$ .

- Consider now  $r_i$  and  $r'_i$ , parametrizing  $A$  and  $A'$ , and  $s_i$ , parametrizing  $B$ , such that  $A' = BA$ . The Jacobian of the transformation is:

$$\frac{\partial(r'_0, r'_1, r'_2, r'_3)}{\partial(r_0, r_1, r_2, r_3)} = \begin{vmatrix} s_0 & -s_1 & -s_2 & -s_3 \\ s_1 & s_0 & -s_3 & s_2 \\ s_2 & s_3 & s_0 & -s_1 \\ s_3 & -s_2 & s_1 & s_0 \end{vmatrix} = (s_0^2 + \mathbf{s}^2)^2 = 1, \quad (23)$$

which means  $dr_0 dr_1 dr_2 dr_3 = dr'_0 dr'_1 dr'_2 dr'_3$ .

- ▶ Imposing  $\det A = 1$ , the integration measure can be taken as

$$V_G d\tau_A = d^4 r \delta(1 - r_0^2 - \mathbf{r}^2) = \frac{d^4 r}{2\sqrt{1 - \mathbf{r}^2}} \sum_{\varsigma=\pm 1} \delta(r_0 - \varsigma \sqrt{1 - \mathbf{r}^2}), \quad (24)$$

where  $d^4 r = dr_0 dr_1 dr_2 dr_3$ , while  $V_G$  is a normalization constant:

$$V_G = \int d^4 r \delta(1 - r_0^2 - \mathbf{r}^2) = 4\pi \int_0^1 \frac{dr r^2}{\sqrt{1 - r^2}} = \pi^2. \quad (25)$$

- ▶ With respect to  $(r, \theta, \zeta, \eta)$ , we have

$$d\tau_A = \frac{\delta(1 - r^2)}{\pi^2} \frac{\partial(r_0, r_1, r_2, r_3)}{\partial(r, \theta, \zeta, \eta)} dr d\theta d\zeta d\eta \rightarrow \frac{\sin(2\theta)}{4\pi^2} d\theta d\zeta d\eta. \quad (26)$$

- ▶ W.r.t. the Euler angle and angle-axis parametrizations,

$$\begin{aligned} SU(2) : \quad d\tau_A &= \frac{d\alpha d\cos\beta d\gamma}{16\pi^2} = \sin^2 \frac{\psi}{2} \frac{d\psi d\cos\theta d\phi}{4\pi^2}, \\ SO(3) : \quad d\tau_R &= \frac{d\alpha d\cos\beta d\gamma}{8\pi^2} = \sin^2 \frac{\psi}{2} \frac{d\psi d\cos\theta d\phi}{2\pi^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

where  $0 \leq \gamma < 4\pi$  for  $SU(2)$  and  $0 \leq \gamma < 2\pi$  for  $SO(3)$ ; For angle-axis, we use  $0 \leq \phi < 2\pi$ ,  $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$  and  $0 \leq \psi < 2\pi$  for  $SU(2)$  and  $0 \leq \psi < \pi$  for  $SO(3)$ .

## II.3.4. Orthonormality of the representation matrices

- ▶ In the case of discrete groups,  $\frac{n_\mu}{n_g} \sum_g D_\mu^\dagger(g)^k{}_i D_\nu(g)^j{}_l = \delta_{\mu\nu} \delta_l^k \delta_i^j$ .
- ▶ For  $SU(2)$ , irrep  $j$  has size  $n_\mu \rightarrow n_j = 2j + 1$  and

$$(2j + 1) \int d\tau_A D_j^\dagger(A)^m{}_n D_{j'}(A)^{n'}{}_{m'} = \delta_{jj'} \delta^m{}_{m'} \delta^{n'}{}_n. \quad (28)$$

- ▶ Using the Euler angle parametrization, the  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$  integrations can be performed, giving (no summation over  $n, m$ ):

$$\frac{2j + 1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 d(\cos \beta) d_j(\beta)^n{}_m d_{j'}(\beta)^{n'}{}_{m'} = \delta_{jj'}. \quad (29)$$

- ▶ Multiplying Eq. (28) by  $\delta_m^n \delta_{n'}^{m'}$  gives

$$\int d\tau_A \chi_j^\dagger(A) \chi_{j'}(A) = \delta_{jj'}. \quad (30)$$

- ▶ Using  $\chi_j(A) = \sin[(j + \frac{1}{2})\psi] / \sin \frac{\psi}{2}$ , we have

$$\int d\tau_A \chi_j^\dagger(A) \chi_{j'}(A) = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\psi}{2\pi} (\cos[(j - j')\psi] - \cos[(j + j' + 1)\psi]) = \delta_{jj'}.$$

## II.3.5. Completeness: Peter-Weyl theorem

- ▶ **Theorem (Peter-Weyl):** The irrep functions  $D_j(A)^m_n$  form a complete basis in the space of (Lebesgue) square-integrable functions defined on the group manifold.
- ▶ Let  $f(A)$  be such a function, then:

$$f(A) = \sum_{jmn} f_{jm}^n D_j(A)^m_n, \quad f_{jm}^n = (2j+1) \int d\tau_A D_j^\dagger(A)^n_m f(A), \quad (31)$$

which implies

$$\sum_{jmn} (2j+1) D_j(A)^m_n D_j^\dagger(A')^n_m = \delta(A - A'), \quad (32)$$

where  $\delta(A - A') = 16\pi^2 \delta(\alpha - \alpha') \delta(\cos \beta - \cos \beta') \delta(\gamma - \gamma')$  in the Euler angle parametrization.

- ▶ Bose-Einstein:  $f(\alpha, \beta, \gamma + 2\pi) = f(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  and  $f_{jm}^n = 0$  when  $j = l + \frac{1}{2}$ .
- ▶ Fermi-Dirac:  $f(\alpha, \beta, \gamma + 2\pi) = -f(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  and  $f_{jm}^n = 0$  when  $j = l$ .
- ▶ In the case when  $f(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  is independent of  $\gamma$ , denoting  $(\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow (\phi, \theta)$ , we write

$$f(\theta, \phi) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l f_{lm} Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi), \quad Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi) = \sqrt{\frac{2l+1}{4\pi}} D_l^*(\phi, \theta, 0)^m_0,$$

and  $f_{lm} = \int d\cos\theta d\phi Y_{lm}^*(\theta, \phi) f(\theta, \phi)$ .

## II.3.6. Projection operators

- The invariant integration measure allows the projection operators to be constructed:

$$P_{jm}^n = (2j + 1) \int d\tau_A D_j^\dagger(A)^n {}_m U(A), \quad (33)$$

by which  $P_{jm}^n |j' m'\rangle = |j' m'\rangle \delta_j^{j'} \delta_{m'}^n$ .



## II.3.7. Differential equation for $D_j$

- For  $R(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = e^{-i\alpha J_3} e^{-i\beta J_2} e^{-i\gamma J_3}$ , we have

$$i \frac{\partial R}{\partial \alpha} = R[R^{-1} J_3 R], \quad i \frac{\partial R}{\partial \beta} = R[e^{i\gamma J_3} J_2 e^{-i\gamma J_3}], \quad i \frac{\partial R}{\partial \gamma} = R J_3. \quad (34)$$

- Using  $R^{-1} J_i R = R_i^j J_j$ , the square brackets evaluate to

$$\begin{aligned} R^{-1} J_3 R &= -\frac{\sin \beta}{2} (J_+ e^{i\gamma} + J_- e^{-i\gamma}) + J_3 \cos \beta, \\ e^{i\gamma J_3} J_2 e^{-i\gamma J_3} &= \frac{i}{2} (-J_+ e^{i\gamma} + J_- e^{-i\gamma}). \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

- Plugging Eq. (35) into Eq. (34) gives

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-i\gamma} \left[ -\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} - \frac{i}{\sin \beta} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} - \cos \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma} \right) \right] R &= R J_+, \\ e^{i\gamma} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} - \frac{i}{\sin \beta} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} - \cos \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma} \right) \right] R &= R J_-, \quad i \frac{\partial R}{\partial \gamma} = R J_3. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

- Evaluating  $\langle jm' | RJ_{\pm} | jm \rangle$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} \left( -\frac{d}{d\beta} - \frac{m' - m \cos \beta}{\sin \beta} \right) d_j(\beta)^{m'}_m &= d_j(\beta)^{m'}_{m+1} \sqrt{j(j+1) - m(m+1)}, \\ \left( \frac{d}{d\beta} - \frac{m' - m \cos \beta}{\sin \beta} \right) d_j(\beta)^{m'}_m &= d_j(\beta)^{m'}_{m-1} \sqrt{j(j+1) - m(m-1)}. \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

- The above relations can be used as recurrence relations: Knowing  $d_j(\beta)^{m'}_m$  for a combination of  $m$  and  $m'$ , it is possible to obtain it for all other  $m$ .
- At the same time, a differential eq. can be obtained for  $d_j(\beta)^{m'}_m$  by applying  $\mathbf{J}^2 = J_3^2 - J_3 + J_+ J_-$ , since:

$$\begin{aligned} R\mathbf{J}^2 &= \left\{ e^{-i\gamma} \left[ -\frac{d}{d\beta} - \frac{i}{\sin \beta} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} - \cos \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma} \right) \right] \right. \\ &\quad \times e^{i\gamma} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} - \frac{i}{\sin \beta} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} - \cos \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma} \right) \right] - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \gamma^2} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma} \left. \right\} R. \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

- Using  $\langle jm' | R\mathbf{J}^2 | jm \rangle = j(j+1)e^{-i\alpha m' - i\gamma m} d_j(\beta)^{m'}_m$  leads to

$$\left( \frac{1}{\sin \beta} \frac{d}{d\beta} \sin \beta \frac{d}{d\beta} - \frac{m^2 + m'^2 - 2mm' \cos \beta}{\sin^2 \beta} + j(j+1) \right) d_j(\beta)^{m'}_m = 0. \quad (39)$$

## II.3.8. Relation to spherical harmonics

- ▶ When  $m = 0$ , setting  $(m', j, \beta) \rightarrow (m, l, \theta)$  and restoring  $D_l(\phi, \theta, 0)^{m_0} = e^{-im\phi} d_l(\theta)^{m_0}$  gives

$$\left[ \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \phi^2} + l(l+1) \right] D_l(\phi, \theta, 0)^{m_0} = 0, \quad (40)$$

which is solved by the spherical harmonics:

$$[D_l(\phi, \theta, 0)^{m_0}]^* = \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{2l+1}} Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi), \quad d_l(\theta)^{m_0} = \sqrt{\frac{(l-m)!}{(l+m)!}} (-1)^m P_{lm}(\theta). \quad (41)$$

- ▶ For general  $(m, m')$ , the differential eq. can be put in the form for the Jacobi polynomials,

$$\left\{ (1-z^2) \frac{d^2}{dz^2} + [\beta - \alpha - (2 + \alpha + \beta)z] \frac{d}{dz} + l(l + \alpha + \beta + 1) \right\} P_l^{(\alpha, \beta)}(z) = 0, \quad (42)$$

such that

$$d_j(\beta)^{m'}_m = \sqrt{\frac{(j+m')!(j-m')!}{(j+m)!(j-m)!}} \left( \cos \frac{\beta}{2} \right)^{m+m'} \left( \sin \frac{\beta}{2} \right)^{m-m'} P_{j-m'}^{m'-m, m'+m}(\cos \beta). \quad (43)$$

- ▶ This reproduces the  $m = 0$  result since

$$P_{l-m}^{m,m}(z) = (-2)^m \frac{l!}{(l-m)!} (1-z^2)^{-m/2} P_{lm}(z), \quad (44)$$

as well as  $P_l(\cos \theta) = P_{l0}(\cos \theta) = P_l^{0,0}(\cos \theta) = d_l(\theta)^{0_0}$ .

## II.3.9. Properties of spherical harmonics

- **Transformation under rotations:** Consider  $|\xi, \psi\rangle = U(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) |\theta, \phi\rangle$ . Then:

$$Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi) = \langle \xi, \psi | U(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) | lm \rangle = Y_{lm'}(\xi, \psi) D_l(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)^{m'}_m. \quad (45)$$

- **Addition theorem:** Taking  $m = 0$  and using  $Y_{l0}(\theta, \phi) = P_l(\cos \theta) \sqrt{(2l+1)/4\pi}$ , such that

$$\sum_{m'} Y_{lm'}(\xi, \psi) Y_{lm'}(\beta, \alpha) = \frac{2l+1}{4\pi} P_l(\cos \theta), \quad (46)$$

where  $\cos \theta = \mathbf{n}(\xi, \psi) \cdot \mathbf{n}(\beta, \alpha)$ .

- **Decomposition** of products of  $Y_{lm}$  with the same arguments:

$$Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi) Y_{l'm'}(\theta, \phi) = \sum_L \langle mm'(l'l') | Lm+m' \rangle Y_{L, m+m'}(\theta, \phi) \\ \times \langle L0(l'l')00 \rangle \sqrt{\frac{(2l+1)(2l'+1)}{4\pi(2L+1)}}. \quad (47)$$

- **Symmetry in  $m$ :**  $Y_{l,-m}(\theta, \phi) = (-1)^m Y_{lm}^*(\theta, \phi)$ .

- Eqs. (37) provide **recurrence relations at fixed  $l$** :

$$\begin{aligned}\sqrt{l(l+1) - m(m+1)} Y_{l,m+1}(\theta, \phi) &= e^{i\phi} \left( \frac{d}{d\theta} - m \cot \theta \right) Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi), \\ \sqrt{l(l+1) - m(m-1)} Y_{l,m-1}(\theta, \phi) &= e^{-i\phi} \left( -\frac{d}{d\theta} - m \cot \theta \right) Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi).\end{aligned}\quad (48)$$

- **Recurrence relations at fixed  $m$**  can be obtained by multiplying  $Y_{lm} Y_{10}$ , with  $Y_{10}(\theta, \phi) = \cos \theta \sqrt{3/4\pi}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\cos \theta Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi) &= \sqrt{\frac{(l+m)(l-m)}{(2l-1)(2l+1)}} Y_{l-1,m}(\theta, \phi) \\ &\quad + \sqrt{\frac{(l+m+1)(l-m+1)}{(2l+1)(2l+3)}} Y_{l+1,m}(\theta, \phi),\end{aligned}\quad (49)$$

where we used:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle m0(l1)l+1m \rangle &= \sqrt{\frac{(l-m+1)(l+m+1)}{(2l+1)(l+1)}}, \quad \langle m0(l1)lm \rangle = \frac{m}{\sqrt{l(l+1)}}, \\ \langle m0(l1)l-1m \rangle &= -\sqrt{\frac{(l-m)(l+m)}{l(2l+1)}}.\end{aligned}\quad (50)$$

- **Orthogonality:**  $\int d\Omega Y_{lm}^*(\theta, \phi) Y_{l'm'}(\theta, \phi) = \delta_{ll'} \delta_{mm'}.$
- **Completeness:**  $\sum_{l,m} Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi) Y_{lm}^*(\theta', \phi') = \delta(\cos \theta - \cos \theta') \delta(\phi - \phi').$

## Exercises

1. Starting from the commutation relations  $[J_i, X_j] = i\epsilon_{ijk}X_k$ , show that the components of the irreducible vector operator  $X_\lambda^1$  are:

$$X_0^1 = X_3, \quad X_{\pm 1}^1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\mp X_1 - iX_2).$$

**WKT7.9** If  $\{T_{ij}, i, j = 1, 2, 3\}$  are the components of a second-rank tensor, show that:

- (i)  $\text{tr} T = \delta^{ij} T_{ij}$  is invariant under  $SO(3)$ ;
  - (ii)  $\hat{T}_{ij} = (T_{ij} - T_{ji})/2$  remains antisymmetric after an  $SO(3)$  transformation, and  $\hat{T}_k = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{kij} T_{ij}$  transforms as a vector;
  - (iii)  $\tilde{T}_{ij} = (T_{ij} + T_{ji})/2 - \frac{1}{3}\delta_{ij}\text{tr} T$  remains symmetric under  $SO(3)$  and the 5 independent components of  $\tilde{T}$  transform with the  $j = 2$  representation.
2. Derive the invariant integration measure for  $SU(2)$  with respect to the parameters  $\theta, \zeta, \eta$ , shown in Eq. (26). [Hint: multiply  $A$  in Eq. (16) by a factor  $r$  and impose at the end  $r = 1$  via a Dirac delta function]

## Exercises

3. Derive the invariant integration measure with respect to the angle-axis parameters, using the convention  $0 \leq \phi < 2\pi$ ,  $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi/2$  and  $0 \leq \psi < 4\pi$  for  $SU(2)$ . Use the explicit relation between the angle-axis parameters and the Euler angles:

$$\phi = \frac{\pi + \alpha - \gamma}{2}, \quad \tan \theta = \frac{\tan(\beta/2)}{\sin[(\gamma + \alpha)/2]}, \quad \cos \frac{\psi}{2} = \cos\left(\frac{\beta}{2}\right) \cos\left(\frac{\alpha + \gamma}{2}\right).$$

4. **Completeness of characters.**

- a) Use Schur's lemma to show that  $A_{\psi}^j = \int \frac{d\Omega_{\mathbf{n}}}{4\pi} D_j[R_{\mathbf{n}}(\psi)] = c_{\psi}^j E$ , where  $E$  is the identity matrix.
- b) Using the angle-axis parametrization of the  $SU(2)$  matrices  $A$  and  $A'$ , integrate Eq. (32) with respect to  $d\Omega_{\mathbf{n}'} = d(\cos \theta') d\phi'$  to show that:

$$\sin^2 \frac{\psi}{2} \sum_j \chi_j(\psi) \chi_j(\psi') = \pi \delta(\psi - \psi'). \quad (51)$$

- c) Therefore deduce that  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2j+1} \sin[\psi(j + \frac{1}{2})] = \frac{\pi}{4}$ .

# Exercises

5. **Invariant integration measure.** Consider the parametrization  $(\theta, \zeta, \eta)$  of the  $SU(2)$  matrices in Eq. (16).

a) Calculate  $\partial A / \partial \xi_i$ , with  $\xi_i = \{\theta, \zeta, \eta\}$ .

b) Express the product  $A^{-1}(\xi)(\partial A / \partial \xi_i)$  with respect to the generators  $J_\alpha$ , using the matrix  $\tilde{A}$  defined below:

$$A^{-1} \frac{\partial A}{\partial \xi_i} = \sum_{\alpha} J_{\alpha} \tilde{A}(\xi)^{\alpha}_i. \quad (52)$$

c) Compute  $d\tau_A = \rho_A(\xi) \prod_i d\xi_i$ , with  $\rho_A(\xi) = \det \tilde{A}(\xi)^{\alpha}_i$ .

d) Repeat the above for the angle-axis parametrization.

6. **Reduced representation matrix  $d_j(\beta)$ .** Consider the direct product of  $n$  irreducible representations with  $j = 1/2$ . Of these, consider the basis  $|jm\rangle$  corresponding to the  $j = n/2$  (maximal) irrep.

a) Show that  $|jj\rangle = \underbrace{|++\cdots+}_{n \text{ elements}}\rangle$ .

b) By repeated application of  $J_-$ , show that

$$|jm\rangle = \sqrt{\frac{(j-m)!(j+m)!}{(2j)!}} \underbrace{\left( \underbrace{|++\cdots+}_{j+m \text{ elements}} \underbrace{-\cdots-}_{j-m \text{ el.}} + \cdots + \underbrace{-\cdots-}_{j-m \text{ el.}} \underbrace{++\cdots+}_{j+m \text{ el.}} \right)}_{(2j)! / [(j+m)!(j-m)!] \text{ terms}}.$$

c) Show that  $U_2(\beta) |\pm\rangle = |\pm\rangle c \pm |\mp\rangle s$ , where  $c = \cos(\beta/2)$  and  $s = \sin(\beta/2)$ .

d) Taking into account that  $|jm\rangle$  and  $U_2(\beta) |jm\rangle$  are totally symmetric, show that

$$\langle jm' | U_2(\beta) | jm \rangle = \sum_{k=0}^{j-m} (-1)^k \frac{\sqrt{(j+m)!(j-m)!(j+m')!(j-m')!}}{k!(j+m'-k)!(m-m'+k)!(j-m-k)!} \times c^{2j-2k-m+m'} s^{2k+m-m'}. \quad (53)$$