

Symmetries in Physics

Lecture 3

Victor E. Ambruş

Universitatea de Vest din Timișoara

Lecture contents

Chapter 1. Discrete symmetry groups

- ▶ I.1. Basic notions of abstract group theory
- ▶ I.2. **Group representations**
- ▶ I.3. **Wigner-Eckart theorem**
- ▶ I.4. Representations of the symmetric group

1.2.6. Orthonormality of irrep matrices (REM)

- **Theorem:** Let μ label inequivalent, irreducible representations $D_\mu(g)$ of G . The following *orthonormality condition* holds:

$$\frac{n_\mu}{n_G} \sum_g D_\mu^\dagger(g)^k{}_i D_\nu(g)^j{}_l = \delta_{\mu\nu} \delta_i^j \delta_l^k, \quad (1)$$

where $n_\mu \equiv$ dimension of the μ -representation and $n_G =$ order of G .

I.2.7. Completeness of irrep matrices

- ▶ **Cor:** The number of inequivalent irreps of a finite group is restricted by $\sum_{\mu} n_{\mu}^2 \leq n_G$.

Proof: The matrix elements $D_{\mu}(g)^i_j$ can be regarded as vectors of size n_G , with elements corresponding to $g \in G$. The labels (i, j) take n_{μ}^2 values and $\sum_{\mu} n_{\mu}^2$ represents the number of vectors in the set. The inequality follows since the number of mutually orthogonal (also linearly independent) vectors $\leq n_G \equiv$ dimension of the vector space.

Theorem: (i) n_{μ} for the inequivalent irreps satisfy $\sum_{\mu} n_{\mu}^2 = n_G$; (ii) The representation matrices satisfy the *completeness relation*:

$$\sum_{\mu, l, k} \frac{n_{\mu}}{n_G} D_{\mu}(g)^l_k D_{\mu}^{\dagger}(g')^k_l = \delta_{gg'}. \quad (2)$$

Proof: for (i) will be given later [see Eq. (8)]; accepting (i), the proof of (ii) is automatic, since $D_{\mu}(g)^l_k$ represent n_G mutually orthogonal vectors in a vector space of size n_G , which must therefore be complete.

- ▶ **Obs:** Since for abelian groups, $n_{\mu} = 1$, we must have n_G inequivalent irreps.

I.2.8. Orthonormality and completeness of irreducible χ

- **Lemma:** The sum of $U^\mu(g)$ over any (conjugation) class ζ_i is:

$$A_i^\mu = \sum_{h \in \zeta_i} U_\mu(h) = \frac{n_i}{n_\mu} \chi_i^\mu E. \quad (3)$$

Proof: It is easy to check that $U_\mu(g)A_i^\mu U_\mu(g)^{-1} = A_i^\mu$, since $ghg^{-1} \in \zeta_i, \forall h \in \zeta_i$ and $g \in G$. By Schur's lemma 1, $A_i = c_i E$. Taking the trace gives $c_i n_\mu = n_i \chi_i^\mu$.

- **Theorem:** The characters of ineq. irreps of G satisfy

Orthonormality:

$$\sum_i \frac{n_i}{n_G} (\chi_i^\mu)^\dagger \chi_i^\nu = \delta_{\mu\nu},$$

Completeness:

$$\frac{n_i}{n_G} \sum_\mu \chi_i^\mu (\chi_j^\mu)^\dagger = \delta_{ij}. \quad (4)$$

Proof: (i) Multiplying Eq. (1) by $\delta_k^i \delta_j^l$ gives $\frac{n_\mu}{n_G} \sum_g (\chi_g^\mu)^\dagger \chi_g^\nu = n_\mu^2 \delta_{\mu\nu}$. Splitting now the sum over g with respect to the conjugation classes ζ_i ($1 \leq i \leq n_c$) and taking into account that $\chi_g^\mu \rightarrow \chi_i^\mu, \forall g \in \zeta_i$ proves the Orthonormality relation.

(ii) Summing Eq. (2) over $g \in \zeta_i$ and $g' \in \zeta_j$ and using Eq. (3) gives

$$\sum_{\mu, l, k} \frac{n_\mu}{n_G} \frac{n_i n_j}{n_\mu^2} \chi_i^\mu (\chi_j^\mu)^\dagger \delta_k^l \delta_l^k = \frac{n_i n_j}{n_G} \sum_\mu \chi_i^\mu (\chi_j^\mu)^\dagger.$$

Performing the same summation on the RHS of Eq. (3) gives $n_i \delta_{ij}$.

- ▶ χ_i^μ can be viewed as an $n_c \times n_c$ matrix ($\mu \equiv$ line index; $i \equiv$ column index).
- ▶ Defining the *normalized characters* via $\tilde{\chi}_i = (n_i/n_G)^{1/2} \chi_i$, Eq. (4) becomes

$$(\tilde{\chi}_i^\mu)^\dagger \tilde{\chi}_i^\nu = \delta_{\mu\nu}, \quad (\tilde{\chi}_i^\mu)^\dagger \tilde{\chi}_j^\mu = \delta_{ij}. \quad (5)$$

- ▶ **Cor:** The number of inequivalent irreps for any finite group G is equal to the number of distinct classes n_c of G .
- ▶ **Theorem:** In the reduction for a given representation $U(G)$, the number of times a_ν that $U_\nu(G)$ is equal to

$$a_\nu = \sum_i \frac{n_i}{n_G} (\chi_i^\nu)^\dagger \chi_i, \quad \chi_i = \text{tr}[U(g \in \zeta_i)]. \quad (6)$$

Proof: Since $U(G) = \sum_{\mu \oplus} a_\mu U_\mu(G)$, we have $\chi_i = \sum_\mu a_\mu \chi_i^\mu$. Eq. (6) follows after using the orthogonality relation (5).

I.2.9. Condition for irreducibility

- **Theorem:** A necessary and sufficient condition for a representation $U(G)$ with characters $\{\chi_i\}$ to be irreducible is that

$$\sum_i \frac{n_i}{n_G} |\chi_i|^2 = \tilde{\chi}^\dagger \cdot \tilde{\chi} = 1 \quad (7)$$

Proof: Using Eq. (5), $\tilde{\chi}^\dagger \cdot \tilde{\chi} = \sum_{\mu,\nu} (a_\mu \tilde{\chi}^\mu)^\dagger \cdot (a_\nu \tilde{\chi}^\nu) = \sum_\mu |a_\mu|^2$. If there is only one irrep, then clearly $\sum_\mu |a_\mu|^2 = 1$. If $\tilde{\chi}^\dagger \cdot \tilde{\chi} = 1$, then there is one and only one irrep for which $a_\mu = 1$.

I.2.10. The regular representation

- ▶ **Def:** The *regular representation* of the group G with multiplication law $g_i g_j = g_k$ is given by the matrix $(\Delta_i)^m_j = \delta_k^m$.
- ▶ **Theorem** (decomposition of the regular representation): the regular representation contains every inequivalent irrep μ precisely n_μ times, and

$$\sum_{\mu} n_{\mu}^2 = n_G. \quad (8)$$

Proof: Let $(\Delta_a)^i_j$ be the representation matrix corresponding to element a . For the identity, $(\Delta_e)^i_j = \delta_j^i$ and $\chi_e = n_G$. For any other element $b \neq e$, we have $bg_i \neq g_i$ and $\chi_b = (\Delta_b)^i_i = 0$. Eq. (6) implies:

$$a_{\nu}^R = \sum_i \frac{n_i}{n_G} (\chi_i^{\nu})^{\dagger} \chi_i^R = \frac{n_e}{n_G} (\chi_e^{\nu})^{\dagger} n_G = n_{\nu},$$

where we used that $\chi_e^{\nu} = n_{\nu}$, $n_e = 1$ and $\chi_e^R = 1$. Since $n_G = \sum_{\mu} a_{\mu} n_{\mu}$, we have $n_G = \sum_{\mu} n_{\mu}^2$.

- ▶ As a consequence, one can get all irreps by reducing the RR.

I.2.11. Direct product representations

- ▶ **Def:** The *direct product vector space* $W = U \otimes V$ of U and V consists of $W = \text{span}\{\hat{\mathbf{w}}_k; k = (i, j); i = 1, \dots, n_u; j = 1, \dots, n_v\}$, where $n_u = \dim(U)$ and $n_v = \dim(V)$.
- ▶ **Def:** The *direct product representation* of the representations $D_\mu(G)$ on U and $D_\nu(G)$ on V is $D_{\mu \times \nu}(G)$, defined on W , and satisfies: $D(g)|w_k\rangle = |w_{k'}\rangle D(g)^{k'}_k$, with $D(g)^{k'}_k = (D_\mu)^{i'}_i (D_\nu)^{j'}_j$.
- ▶ It is clear that $\chi_i^{\mu \times \nu} = \chi_i^\mu \chi_i^\nu$.
- ▶ $D_{\mu \times \nu} \sim \sum_{\lambda \oplus} D_\lambda$ is usually reducible, even if D_μ and D_ν are irreducible.
- ▶ **Def:** The *Clebsch-Gordan coefficients* are the matrix elements $\langle ij(\mu\nu)\alpha\lambda l \rangle$ defined by $|w_{\alpha\lambda l}\rangle = \sum_{i,j} |w_{i,j}\rangle \langle ij(\mu,\nu)\alpha\lambda l \rangle$, where $1 \leq \alpha \leq a_\lambda$ and $1 \leq l \leq n_\lambda$.
- ▶ **Theorem:** The C-B coeffs $\langle \alpha\lambda l(\mu\nu)ij \rangle = \langle ij(\mu\nu)\alpha\lambda l \rangle^*$ satisfy

$$\text{Orthonormality: } \sum_{\alpha\lambda l} \langle i'j'(\mu\nu)\alpha\lambda l \rangle \langle \alpha\lambda l(\mu\nu)ij \rangle = \delta_i^{i'} \delta_j^{j'}, \quad (9)$$

$$\text{Completeness: } \sum_{ij} \langle \alpha'\lambda' l'(\mu\nu)ij \rangle \langle ij(\mu\nu)\alpha\lambda l \rangle = \delta_{\alpha'}^{\alpha} \delta_{\lambda'}^{\lambda} \delta_{l'}^{l}.$$

Proof: Follows directly from the orthonormality and completeness of the bases $\hat{w}_{i,j}$ and $\hat{w}_{\alpha,\lambda,l}$.

I.2.12. Reduction of product representations

- **Theorem:** The similarity transformation composed of C-B coeffs. decomposes $D^{\mu \times \nu}$ into its irreducible components. The following reciprocal relations hold:

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\mu}(g)^{i'}_i D_{\nu}(g)^{j'}_j &= \langle i'j'(\mu\nu)\alpha\lambda l' \rangle D_{\lambda}(g)^{l'}_l \langle \alpha\lambda l(\mu\nu)ij \rangle, \\ \delta_{\alpha}^{\alpha'} \delta_{\lambda}^{\lambda'} D_{\lambda}(g)^{l'}_l &= \langle \alpha'\lambda'l'(\mu\nu)i'j' \rangle D_{\mu}(g)^{i'}_i D_{\nu}(g)^{j'}_j \langle ij(\mu\nu)\alpha\lambda l \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Proof: We have $U(g)|w_{i,j}\rangle = |w_{i',j'}\rangle D_{\mu}(g)^{i'}_i D_{\nu}(g)^{j'}_j$ and $U(g)|w_{\alpha\lambda l}\rangle = |w_{\alpha\lambda l'}\rangle D_{\lambda}(g)^{l'}_l$. Noting that $|w_{i,j}\rangle = \sum_{\alpha,\lambda,l} |w_{\alpha\lambda l}\rangle \langle \alpha\lambda l(\mu\nu)ij \rangle$ proves the first relation. Then writing $|w_{\alpha\lambda l}\rangle = \sum_{i,j} |w_{i,j}\rangle \langle ij(\mu\nu)\alpha\lambda l \rangle$ and using Eq. (9) gives the second eq.

I.2.13. Irreducible basis vectors

- ▶ **Def:** A set of basis vectors $\{\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mu;i}, i = 1, \dots, n_{\mu}\}$ which transforms under $U(G)$ as $U(g)|e_{\mu;i}\rangle = |e_{\mu;j}\rangle D_{\mu}(g)^j_i$ forms an *irreducible set* transforming according to the μ -representation of G .
- ▶ **Theorem:** Let $\{\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{\mu;i}, i = 1, \dots, n_{\mu}\}$ and $\{\hat{\mathbf{v}}_{\nu;j}, j = 1, \dots, n_{\nu}\}$ be two sets of irreducible basis vectors w.r.t. G on V . If the irreps. μ and ν are not equivalent, then the two invariant subspaces are orthogonal.
Proof: Let us compute the scalar product of two basis vectors:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle v_{\nu}^j | u_{\mu;i} \rangle &= \frac{1}{n_G} \sum_g \langle v_{\nu}^j | U^{\dagger}(g) U(g) | u_{\mu;i} \rangle \\ &= \underbrace{\left(\frac{n_{\mu}}{n_G} \sum_g D_{\nu}^{\dagger}(g)^j_k D_{\mu}(g)^l_i \right)}_{\text{Orthogonality relation: } \delta_{\mu\nu} \delta_i^j \delta_k^l} \frac{1}{n_{\mu}} \langle v_{\nu}^k | u_{\mu;l} \rangle \\ &= \delta_{\mu\nu} \delta_i^j n_{\mu}^{-1} \langle v_{\nu}^k | u_{k;\mu} \rangle.\end{aligned}\tag{11}$$

I.2.14. Projection operators

- ▶ Let $U(G)$ be a rep. of G on V and $D_\mu(G)$ a matrix irrep of G . The operators

$$P_{\mu i}^j = \frac{n_\mu}{n_G} \sum_g D_\mu^{-1}(g)^j_i U(g) \quad (12)$$

transform irreducibly according to the μ representation (keeping j fixed).

Proof: Consider $|x\rangle \in V$ and $g \in G$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} U(g) P_{\mu i}^j |x\rangle &= \frac{n_\mu}{n_G} \sum_{g'} U(gg') |x\rangle D_\mu^{-1}(g')^j_i \\ &= \frac{n_\mu}{n_G} \sum_{g''} U(g'') |x\rangle D_\mu^{-1}(g'')^j_k D_\mu^{-1}(g^{-1})^k_i \\ &= P_{\mu k}^j |x\rangle D_\mu(g)^k_i. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

- ▶ **Cor:** An irreducible invariant subspace corresponding to the μ irrep can be generated using the basis $\{P_{\mu i}^j |x\rangle, i = 1, \dots, n_\mu\}$, starting from some (arbitrary) $|x\rangle \in V$.

- **Theorem:** Consider the irreducible basis $\{\hat{e}_{\nu;k}, k = 1, \dots, n_{\nu}\}$. Then

$$P_{\mu i}^j |e_{\nu;k}\rangle = |e_{\nu;i}\rangle \delta_{\mu\nu} \delta_k^j. \quad (14)$$

Proof: $P_{\mu i}^j |e_{\nu;k}\rangle = |e_{\nu;l}\rangle \frac{n_{\mu}}{n_G} \sum_g D^{\nu}(g)^l_k D_{\mu}^{\dagger}(g)^j_i = |e_{\nu;i}\rangle \delta_{\mu\nu} \delta_k^j$.

- **Cor:** $P_{\mu i}^j P_{\nu k}^l = \delta_{\mu\nu} \delta_k^j P_{\mu i}^l$.

Proof: since $P_{\nu k}^l |x\rangle$ is an irreducible set $\forall |x\rangle \in V$, Eq. (14) implies the relation in the corollary.

- **Cor:** $U(g) = \sum_{\mu,i,j} P_{\mu i}^j D_{\mu}(g)^i_j, \forall g \in G$.

- **Cor:** $U(g) P_{\nu k}^l = \sum_i P_{\nu i}^l D_{\nu}(g)^i_k$.

- **Def:** $P_{\mu i} \equiv P_{\mu i}^{j=i}$ are projection operators onto the basis $\{\hat{e}_{\mu;i}\}$.

Proof: $P_{\mu i} P_{\nu k} = P_{\mu i}^{j=i} P_{\nu k}^{l=k} = \delta_{\mu\nu} \delta_k^{j=i} P_{\mu i}^{l=k} = \delta_{\mu\nu} \delta_{ik} P_{\mu k}$.

- **Def:** $P_{\mu} = \sum_i P_{\mu i} = \frac{n_{\mu}}{n_G} \sum_g [\chi_{\mu}(g)]^{-1} U(g)$ are projection operators onto the irreducible invariant space V_{μ} .

Proof: $P_{\mu} P_{\nu} = P_{\mu i}^j P_{\nu j}^i = \delta_{\mu\nu} \delta_j^i P_{\nu i}^j = \delta_{\mu\nu} P_{\nu}$.

- **Theorem:** P_{μ} and $P_{\mu i}$ are complete in the sense that $\sum_{\mu} P_{\mu} = E$.

Proof: Consider $\{\hat{e}_{\nu;k}\}$ the basis vectors of any irr. inv. subsp. of V . Then $P_{\mu} |e_{\nu;k}\rangle = P_{\mu i}^j |e_{\nu;k}\rangle = |e_{\nu;i}\rangle \delta_{\mu\nu} \delta_k^j = |e_{\nu;k}\rangle \delta_{\mu\nu}$. Summing over all invariant spaces of V gives $\sum_{\mu} P_{\mu} |e_{\nu;k}\rangle = |e_{\nu;k}\rangle$.

I.2.15. Wigner-Eckart theorem

- **Def:** A set of operators $\{O_{\mu;i}, i = 1, \dots, n_{\mu}\}$ on V , transforming under G as

$$U(g)O_{\mu;i}U(g)^{-1} = O_{\mu;j}D_{\mu}(g)^j_i, \quad (15)$$

with $g \in G$ and $D_{\mu}(G)$ an irr. matrix rep. of G , forms a set of *irreducible operators* corresponding to the μ -representation (a.k.a. *irreducible tensors*).

- Acting with $O_{\mu;i}$ on $|e_{\nu;j}\rangle$ gives a vector transforming under the product representation $D^{\mu \times \nu}$, since

$$\begin{aligned} U(g)O_{\mu;i}|e_{\nu;j}\rangle &= U(g)O_{\mu;i}U^{-1}(g)U(g)|e_{\nu;j}\rangle \\ &= O_{\mu;k}|e_{\nu;l}\rangle D_{\mu}(g)^k_i D_{\nu}(g)^l_j. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

- **Theorem:** (Wigner-Eckart) Let $\{O_{\mu;i}\}$ be a set of irreducible tensor operators. Then:

$$\langle e'_{\alpha\lambda} | O_{\mu;i} | e_j^{\nu} \rangle = \langle \alpha\lambda | I(\mu\nu) | ij \rangle \langle \lambda | O_{\mu} | \nu \rangle_{\alpha}, \quad (17)$$

where $\langle \lambda | O_{\mu} | \nu \rangle_{\alpha} = n_{\lambda}^{-1} \sum_k \langle e_{\lambda}^k | \Psi_{\alpha\lambda k} \rangle \equiv$ *reduced matrix element*.

Proof of the Wigner-Eckart theorem: I

- ▶ Since $O_{\mu,i} |e_{\nu,j}\rangle$ transforms under $U(G)$ as the direct product representation $D_{\mu \times \nu}(g)$, it can be expanded w.r.t. a set of vectors $|\Psi_{\alpha,l}^{\lambda}\rangle$:

$$O_{\mu,i} |e_{\nu,j}\rangle = \sum_{\alpha\lambda l} |\Psi_{\alpha\lambda l}\rangle \langle \alpha\lambda l(\mu\nu)ij \rangle, \quad (18)$$

with $\langle \alpha\lambda l(\mu\nu)ij \rangle$ being the C-G coeffs. connecting representation the copy α of representation λ to the direct product basis $|e_i^{\mu}\rangle \otimes |e_j^{\nu}\rangle$.

- ▶ Now we show that $|\Psi_{\alpha\lambda l}\rangle$ forms an irreducible set transforming under the λ representation of G , by applying $U(g)$ on Eq. (18):

$$\sum_{\alpha\lambda l} U(g) |\Psi_{\alpha\lambda l}\rangle \langle \alpha\lambda l(\mu\nu)ij \rangle = \sum_{\alpha\lambda l; i'j'} |\Psi_{\alpha\lambda l}\rangle \langle \alpha\lambda l(\mu\nu)i'j' \rangle D_{\mu}(g)^{i'}_i D_{\nu}(g)^{j'}_j.$$

- ▶ Multiplying by $\langle ij(\mu\nu)\alpha\lambda l \rangle$, summing over i,j and using the orthogonality relation (9) for the C-G coeffs. gives:

$$U(g) |\Psi_{\lambda\alpha l}\rangle = \sum_{\alpha'\lambda'l'} |\Psi_{\alpha'\lambda'l'}\rangle \sum_{i'j'; ij} \langle \alpha'\lambda'l'(\mu\nu)i'j' \rangle D_{\mu}(g)^{i'}_i D_{\nu}(g)^{j'}_j \langle ij(\mu\nu)\alpha\lambda l \rangle.$$

- ▶ The last sum represents just $\delta_{\alpha\alpha'}\delta_{\lambda\lambda'}D_{\lambda}(g)^{l'}_l = \langle e_{\alpha',\lambda'}^{l'} | U(g) | e_{\alpha,\lambda}^l \rangle$:

$$\langle e_{\alpha',\lambda'}^{l'} | U(g) | e_{\alpha,\lambda}^l \rangle = \sum_{ij, i'j'} \langle \alpha'\lambda'l'(\mu\nu)i'j' \rangle D_{\mu}(g)^{i'}_i D_{\nu}(g)^{j'}_j \langle ij(\mu\nu)\alpha\lambda l \rangle.$$

Proof of the Wigner-Eckart theorem: II

- ▶ Now we show that $|\Psi_{\alpha\lambda l}\rangle \sim |e_{\alpha\lambda l}\rangle$, where $|e^{\alpha\lambda l}\rangle$ is an orthogonal basis.
- ▶ Writing $|\Psi_{\alpha\lambda l}\rangle \equiv \Psi |e_{\alpha\lambda l}\rangle = |e_{\alpha\lambda l'}\rangle \Psi^{l'}_l$ and using $U(g) |\Psi_{\alpha\lambda l}\rangle = |\Psi_{\alpha\lambda l'}\rangle D_\lambda(g)^{l'}_l$, we find

$$U(g) |\Psi_{\alpha\lambda l}\rangle = |e_{\alpha\lambda n}\rangle D_\lambda(g)^n_m \Psi^m_l = |e_{\alpha\lambda n}\rangle \Psi^n_m D_\lambda(g)^m_l, \quad (19)$$

which shows that $\Psi D_\lambda(g) = D_\lambda(g) \Psi$.

- ▶ According to Schur's lemma 1, Ψ is proportional to the identity operator on the subspace $\{\hat{e}_{\alpha\lambda l}, l = 1, \dots, n_\lambda\}$, with the proportionality constant being $\langle \lambda | O_\mu | \nu \rangle_\alpha$, the reduced matrix element:

$$O^\mu_i |e_{\nu j}\rangle = \sum_{\alpha\lambda l} |e_{\alpha\lambda l}\rangle \langle \alpha\lambda l | (\mu\nu) ij \rangle \langle \lambda | O_\mu | \nu \rangle_\alpha. \quad (20)$$

Exercises

WKT3.5 Find the set of unitary representation matrices $D_\mu(p)$, with $p \in S_3$ for the $2D$ irreps of S_3 ($\mu = 1, 2, 3$) and list the corresponding character table.

WKT3.6 Find the similarity transformation that reduces the following $2D$ representation of the $C_2 = \{e, a\}$ group into diagonal form:

$$e \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad a \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (21)$$

WKT3.8 Let (x_1, y_1) and (x_2, y_2) be coordinates of two 2-vectors which transform independently under D_3 transformations. Consider the function space V spanned by the monomials x_1x_2 , x_1y_2 , y_1x_2 and y_1y_2 . Show that the realization of the group D_3 on this $4D$ space is the direct product representation of that on the $2D$ space with itself.

WKT3.9 Reduce the $4D$ rep. of D_3 from the previous problem into its irreducible comps. Evaluate the Clebsch-Gordan coeffs.

Exercises

WKT3.11 Construct the character table for S_4 . [Hint: Make use of the irreps of any factor groups that may exist. Then complete the table by using the orthonormality and completeness relations.]

WKT4.2 Let $G = S_3$ and $V = V_2 \times V_2$ ($V_2 \equiv 2D$ vector space). Starting with the basis vectors $\hat{e}_x\hat{e}_x$, $\hat{e}_x\hat{e}_y$, $\hat{e}_y\hat{e}_x$ and $\hat{e}_y\hat{e}_y$, construct four new basis vectors which transform irreducibly under S_3 . Use the projection operator technique.