INVESTIGATION OF THE ANGULAR DISTORTION AROUND Cr³⁺ IN LiCaAlF₆

E. Preda, M. Ciresan, N. M. Avram

Department of Physics, West University of Timisoara, Bd. V. Parvan No. 4, 300233 Timisoara, Romania

Abstract

The local structure for Cr^{3+} in LiCaAlF₆ are theoretically investigated from the perturbation formulas of the EPR parameters (zero-field splitting, g_{\parallel} and g_{\perp}) and splitting of first excited states for a $3d^3$ ion, in trigonal symmetry, in the cluster approach. The results show that the local angle between the impurity-ligand bonding lengths and the C₃ axis in the Cr^{3+} centers are larger than the angle in the hosts matrix. The calculated EPR parameters show a reasonable agreement with experimental data. **Keywords:** EPR, spin Hamiltonian parameters, Cr^{3+} , angular distortion.

1. Introduction

Single crystals of the collquirite fluoride family LiAMF₆ (A=Ca, Sr and M=Al, Ga), have been reported as efficient broadly tunable laser materials when doped with Cr^{3+} . The LiCaAlF₆ (LiCAF), LiSrAlF₆ (LiSAF), LiSrGaF₆ (LiSGaF) and LiCaGaF₆ (LiCGaF) crystals are very good as host matrices for laser crystals, due to easiness of their growth, their optical properties and laser performance [1-6].

It is well known that when the impurity ion substitutes a host ion in a crystal, the local structure surrounding the impurity becomes different from the corresponding structure in the host crystal. If the impurity is a paramagnetic ion, we can obtain useful information on the local structure of an impurity center by analyzing its EPR data [7, 8, 9], since the EPR parameters of a paramagnetic ion in crystal are sensitive to the local distortion of the impurity center. The distortion is associated with the relative rotations of the two opposite trigonal F^{-} faces, and as a result the site symmetry is lowered from Oh to D₃. The electronic paramagnetic resonance (EPR) technique is sensitive to low-symmetry distortions, that are why collquirite crystals have been investigated by this technique [10-12]. The aim of this paper is to calculate the EPR parameters

(zero-field splitting D and g factors g_{\parallel} , g_{\perp}) of Cr³⁺ replacing Al in LiCaAlF₆. The spectroscopic parameter for LiCaAlF₆: Cr³⁺ are [13] $Dq=1587 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $B=786 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $C=3248 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. We will follow the line of our earlier papers [14, 15].

2. Basic theoretical background and calculation

Effective spin-Hamiltonian (SH) for 3d ions in a trigonal crystal field is written as [16]:

$$H = D(S_z^2 - \frac{1}{3}S(S+1) + \mu_B g_{\parallel} B_z S_z + \mu_B g_{\perp} (B_x S_x + B_y S_y))$$
(1)

The lest two terms in Eq (1) are referred to as the Zeeman term, whereas the first term is known as the zero-field splitting (ZFS) term. D is the ZFS parameter and g_{\parallel} , g_{\perp} stand for the EPR g-factors. Besides these parameters we will consider also the splitting energy $\delta({}^{2}E)$ of first excited state.

Macfarlane [17, 18] has considered a d³-ion in a trigonal octahedral center using the highorder perturbation approach. He obtained the following equations for calculating the EPR parameters D, $g_{\parallel}, \Delta g = g_{\parallel} - g_{\perp}$ and the first excited state splitting $\delta({}^{2}E)$:

$$D = \frac{2}{9}\xi^{2}\nu\left(\frac{1}{D_{1}^{2}} - \frac{1}{D_{3}^{2}}\right) - \sqrt{2}\xi^{2}\nu\left(\frac{2}{3D_{1}D_{4}} + \frac{1}{D_{2}D_{3}} + \frac{1}{3D_{3}D_{4}} + \frac{1}{D_{2}D_{4}} + \frac{4\sqrt{2}B}{D_{1}D_{4}D_{5}} + \frac{4B}{D_{3}D_{4}D_{5}} + \frac{9B}{2D_{2}^{2}D_{3}}\right) (2)$$

$$g_{\parallel} = g_{s} - \frac{8\xi k}{3D_{1}} - \frac{2\xi^{2}}{3D_{2}^{2}}(k + g_{s}) + \frac{4\xi^{2}}{9D_{3}^{2}}(k - 2g_{s}) + \frac{8\xi^{2}}{9D_{1}^{2}}(k - 2g_{s}) - \frac{4\xi^{2}k}{3D_{1}D_{2}} + \frac{4\xi^{2}k}{3D_{1}D_{2}} + \frac{4\xi^{2}k}{3D_{1}D_{2}} + \frac{8\xi k}{3D_{1}D_{2}}(k - 2g_{s}) + \frac{8\xi^{2}}{9D_{1}^{2}}(k - 2g_{s}) - \frac{4\xi^{2}k}{3D_{1}D_{2}} + \frac{4\xi^{2}k}{3D_{1}D_{2}} + \frac{4\xi^{2}k}{3D_{1}D_{2}}(k - 2g_{s}) + \frac{4\xi^{2}k}{3D_{1}D_{2}}(k - 2g_{s}) - \frac{4\xi^{2}k}{3D_{1}D_{2}} + \frac{4\xi^{2}k}{3D_{1}D_{2}}(k - 2g_{s}) + \frac{4\xi^{2}k}{3D_{1}D_{2}}(k - 2g_{s}) - \frac{4\xi^{2}k}{3D_{1}D_{2}}(k - 2g_{s}) -$$

$$+\frac{45 \kappa}{9D_1D_3} + \frac{45 \kappa}{3D_2D_3} + \frac{35\kappa}{9D_1^2}v - \frac{35\sqrt{25\kappa}}{3D_1D_4}v'$$
(3)

$$\Delta g = g_{\parallel} - g_{\perp} = \frac{4\xi k}{3D_1^2} \nu - \frac{4\sqrt{2}\xi k}{D_1 D_4} \nu'$$

$$\delta(^2 E) = E(\overline{E}) - E(2\overline{A}) = 4\xi \nu \left(-\frac{1}{3D_7} - \frac{4B}{D_7 D_{12}} + \frac{B}{D_7 D_{13}} - \frac{4B}{D_{10} D_{12}} + \frac{B}{D_{10} D_{13}} \right) + \delta(^2 E) = E(\overline{E}) - E(2\overline{A}) = 4\xi \nu \left(-\frac{1}{3D_7} - \frac{4B}{D_7 D_{12}} + \frac{B}{D_7 D_{13}} - \frac{4B}{D_{10} D_{12}} + \frac{B}{D_{10} D_{13}} \right) + \delta(^2 E) = E(\overline{E}) - E(2\overline{A}) = 4\xi \nu \left(-\frac{1}{3D_7} - \frac{4B}{D_7 D_{12}} + \frac{B}{D_7 D_{13}} - \frac{4B}{D_{10} D_{12}} + \frac{B}{D_{10} D_{13}} \right) + \delta(^2 E) = E(\overline{E}) - E(2\overline{A}) = 4\xi \nu \left(-\frac{1}{3D_7} - \frac{4B}{D_7 D_{12}} + \frac{B}{D_7 D_{13}} - \frac{4B}{D_{10} D_{12}} + \frac{B}{D_{10} D_{13}} \right) + \delta(^2 E) = E(\overline{E}) - E(2\overline{A}) = 4\xi \nu \left(-\frac{1}{3D_7} - \frac{4B}{D_7 D_{12}} + \frac{B}{D_7 D_{13}} - \frac{4B}{D_{10} D_{12}} + \frac{B}{D_{10} D_{13}} \right) + \delta(^2 E) = E(\overline{E}) - E(2\overline{A}) = 4\xi \nu \left(-\frac{1}{3D_7} - \frac{4B}{D_7 D_{12}} + \frac{B}{D_7 D_{13}} - \frac{4B}{D_{10} D_{12}} + \frac{B}{D_{10} D_{13}} \right) + \delta(^2 E) = E(\overline{E}) - E(2\overline{A}) = 4\xi \nu \left(-\frac{1}{3D_7} - \frac{4B}{D_7 D_{12}} + \frac{B}{D_7 D_{13}} - \frac{4B}{D_{10} D_{12}} + \frac{B}{D_{10} D_{13}} \right) + \delta(^2 E) = E(\overline{E}) - E(2\overline{A}) = 4\xi \nu \left(-\frac{1}{3D_7} - \frac{4B}{D_7 D_{12}} + \frac{B}{D_7 D_{13}} - \frac{4B}{D_{10} D_{12}} + \frac{B}{D_{10} D_{13}} \right) + \delta(^2 E) = E(\overline{E}) - E(2\overline{A}) = 4\xi \nu \left(-\frac{1}{3D_7} - \frac{4B}{D_7 D_{12}} + \frac{B}{D_7 D_{13}} - \frac{4B}{D_{10} D_{13}} + \frac{B}{D_{10} D_{13}} \right) + \delta(^2 E) = \delta(\overline{E}) + \delta(^2 E) + \delta(^2$$

$$+2\sqrt{2}\xi Bv\left(\frac{4\sqrt{3}}{D_7 D_{12}}-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{D_7 D_{13}}-\frac{4}{D_8 D_{12}}-\frac{1}{D_8 D_{13}}\right)$$
(5)

where: $g_s=2.003$, $\xi = k\xi_0$ is the spin-orbit constant in a crystal, $k \approx (\sqrt{B/B_0} + \sqrt{C/C_0})/2$ [19] is the orbital reduction factor with B₀ and C₀ being the Racah parameters for a free ion and B and C the Racah parameters in a crystal [20]. For Cr^{3+} free ion we take [19] $B_0 = 1030 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $C_0 = 3850 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and $\xi_0 = 273 \text{ cm}^{-1}$.

The zeroth-order energy denominations D_i are defined in tems of Racah parameters and crystal field strength Dq as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ll} D_1 = \Delta = 10 Dq, & D_2 = 15B + 4C, \\ D_3 = \Delta + 9B + 3C, & D_4 = \Delta + 12B, \\ D_5 = 2\Delta + 3B, & D_7 = \Delta + 6B, & D_8 = \Delta + 6B, \\ D_{10} = \Delta, & D_{12} = \Delta + 14B + 3C, \\ D_{13} = \Delta + 5B. \end{array}$$

The trigonal field parameters v and v' can be expressed using the superposition model of crystal field [21] as:

$$v = \frac{18}{7} \overline{A}_{2}(R)(3\cos^{2}\theta - 1) + \frac{40}{21} \overline{A}_{4}(R)(35\cos^{4}\theta - 30\cos^{2}\theta + 3) + \frac{40\sqrt{2}}{3} \overline{A}_{4}\sin^{3}\theta\cos\theta$$
(6)

$$v' = -\frac{6\sqrt{2}}{7} \overline{A}_{2}(R)(3\cos^{2}\theta - 1) + \frac{10\sqrt{2}}{21} \overline{A}_{4}(R)(35\cos^{4}\theta - 30\cos^{2}\theta + 3) + \frac{20}{3} \overline{A}_{4}\sin^{3}\theta\cos\theta$$
(7)

In these equations $\overline{A}_2(R)$ and $\overline{A}_4(R)$ are the intrinsic parameters of the model. For the transition metal ions in octahedral impurity centers $\overline{A}_4(R) = 3Dq/4$ [22].

 θ is the angle between the C₃ axis and metal-ligand chemical bond. In an ideal octahedron, $\theta = \arccos(1/\sqrt{3}) \approx 54.7^{\circ}$; in a real (even undoped) crystal this angle differs from that value because of distortions.

The ratio $\overline{A}_2(R)/\overline{A}_4(R) \approx 10.5$ [23] we use for calculation.

Precise value of θ can be found from the X-ray diffraction data for a given crystal. The value of the angle θ differs for the doped crystal from that in the host crystal due to the differences in the mass and ionic radii between the substituted and substituting ions [19]. The value of θ can be determined by fitting the calculated spin-Hamiltonian parameters to those deduced from experimental EPR spectra. Equating D to the experimental value [15] yields the numerical value of θ , which corresponds to the angle between the C₃ axis and "impurity ion-ligand" chemical

bond in the doped crystal. Experimental [11] and calculated (this work) SH and trigonal parameters for LiCaAlF₆ doped with Cr^{3+} :

$$k = 0.8960$$

$$D(10^{-4} cm^{-1})(exp.[11]) = -1010$$

$$D(10^{-4} cm^{-1})(calc.) = -1014$$

$$\theta(deg) = 54.97$$

$$v(cm^{-1}) = -296$$

$$v'(cm^{-1}) = 206$$

$$\delta(^{2}E), (cm^{-1}, calc.) = 5.75$$

$$g_{\parallel}(exp.[11]) = 1.974(1)$$

$$g_{\parallel}(calc.) = 1.963(8)$$

$$g_{\perp}(exp.[11]) = 1.974(2)$$

$$g_{\perp}(calc.) = 1.964(8)$$

As can be seen from above results, the calculated SH parameters are in reasonable agreement with experimental values. The value of the angle θ is very close to that one for an ideal octahedron, thus suggesting small trigonal deformation along the C₃ axis. In some other crystals this deformation is greater. For example, the values of θ for Cr³⁺ in Cs₂NaGaF₆ are [24] 55.2° and 53.2° for two Cr³⁺ positions in this crystal.

3. Conclusions

The main purpose of this paper was to study theoretically the local trigonal angles Cr^{3+} centers in hexagonal fluorides LiCa(Al)F₆, from their EPR spectra, by using the perturbation formulas for the spin Hamiltonian parameters (D, g_{\parallel} , g_{\perp}) in trigonally distorted octahedral, based on the cluster approach. The results of calculations of the EPR g-factors are in reasonable agreement with experimental values.

References

- S.A. Payne, L. L. Chase, H.W. Newkirk, L.K. Smith and W.F. Krupke, IEEE J. Quantum Electron, 24, (1988) 2243;
- [2] S.A. Payne, L.L. Chase and G.D. Wilke, J.Luminiscence, 44, (1989) 167;
- [3] P.Beaud, M.C. Richardson, Y.F. Chen, B.H.T. Chai, IEEE J. Q. Electron, 30, (1994) 1259;
- [4] F.Balembois, F. Falcoz, F. Kerboull, F. Druon, P. Georges and A. Brun, IEEE J. Quantum Electron, 33, (1997) 269;
- [5] S.A. Payne, L.K. Smith, R.J. Beach, B.T.H. Chai, J.H. Tassano, L.D. DeLoach, W.L. Kway, R.W. Solarz and W.F. Krupke, Appl. Opt. 33 (1994) 5526;
- [6] S.Uemura and K. Miyazaki, Japana J. Appl. Phys., 36 (1997) 4312;

- [7] W.-C.Zheng, J. Phys. Chem. Solids 56, 61 (1995);
- [8] W.-C.Zheng, Physica **B245**, 119 (1998);
- [9] V.-K. Jain, Z. Naturforsch. 58a, 667 (2003);
- [10] R. Wannemacher and R.S. Meltzer, J. Lumin., 43, (1989) 251;
- [11] M. Yamaga, B. Henderson, K.Holliday, T. Yasida, M. Fukui and Kindo, J. Phys. Condens.Mater, 11, (1999) 10499;
- [12] A.N.Medina, A.C. Bento, M.L.Baesso, F.G. Gandra, T. Catunda, and A. Cassanho, J. Phys.: Condens Matter 13 (2001) 8435;
- [13] S.A. Payne, L.L. Chase and G.D. Wilke, I. Luminiscence, 44 167 (1989);
- [14] N.M. Avram, M.G. Brik and C.N. Avram, in New Trends in Advanced Materials (Eds, N.M.Avram, V.Pop, R.Tetean), Ed. Univ. Vest, Timisoara, 2005;
- [15] M.G.Brik, C.N. Avram and N.M. Avram, Physica B.;
- [16] I.L. Pilbrow, Transition Ion Electron Paramagnetic Resonance, oxford, Clarendon, 1990;
- [17] R.M. Macfarlane, J. Chem. Phys. 47 2066 (1967);
- [18] R.M. Macfarlane, Phys. Rev. B 1 989 (1970);
- [19] Z.Y. Yang, C.Rudowicz, J. Qin, Physica B 318, 188 (2002);
- [20] I.Griffith, The Theory of Trans.-Metal Ions, Cambridge University Press, London 1964;
- [21] D.J. Newman, B.Ng, Rep. Progr. Phys. 52, 699 (1989);
- [22] W.L. Yu, M. G. Zhao, Phys. Rev. B 37, 9254 (1988);
- [23] W.C.Zheng, Q.Zhou, Y.Mei, X.X.Wu, Opt.Mater. 27 (2004) 449
- [24] M.G. Brik, N.M. Avram, C.N.Avram, Solid State Commun. 132 (2004) 831.