# INVESTIGATION OF THE ANGULAR DISTORTION AROUND Cr ${ }^{3+}$ IN LiCaAIF 6 

E. Preda, M. Ciresan, N. M. Avram<br>Department of Physics, West University of Timisoara, Bd. V. Parvan No. 4, 300233 Timisoara, Romania


#### Abstract

The local structure for $\mathrm{Cr}^{3+}$ in $\mathrm{LiCaAlF}_{6}$ are theoretically investigated from the perturbation formulas of the EPR parameters (zero-field splitting, $g_{\|}$and $g_{\perp}$ ) and splitting of first excited states for a $\mathbf{3 d}^{\mathbf{3}}$ ion, in trigonal symmetry, in the cluster approach. The results show that the local angle between the impurity-ligand bonding lengths and the $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ axis in the $\mathrm{Cr}^{3+}$ centers are larger than the angle in the hosts matrix. The calculated EPR parameters show a reasonable agreement with experimental data. Keywords: EPR, spin Hamiltonian parameters, $\mathrm{Cr}^{3+}$, angular distortion.


## 1. Introduction

Single crystals of the collquirite fluoride family $\mathrm{LiAMF}_{6}(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{Ca}, \mathrm{Sr}$ and $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Al}, \mathrm{Ga})$, have been reported as efficient broadly tunable laser materials when doped with $\mathrm{Cr}^{3+}$. The $\mathrm{LiCaAlF}_{6}$ (LiCAF), $\mathrm{LiSrAlF}_{6}(\mathrm{LiSAF}), \mathrm{LiSrGaF}_{6}(\mathrm{LiSGaF})$ and $\mathrm{LiCaGaF}_{6}(\mathrm{LiCGaF})$ crystals are very good as host matrices for laser crystals, due to easiness of their growth, their optical properties and laser performance [1-6].

It is well known that when the impurity ion substitutes a host ion in a crystal, the local structure surrounding the impurity becomes different from the corresponding structure in the host crystal. If the impurity is a paramagnetic ion, we can obtain useful information on the local structure of an impurity center by analyzing its EPR data [7, 8, 9], since the EPR parameters of a paramagnetic ion in crystal are sensitive to the local distortion of the impurity center. The distortion is associated with the relative rotations of the two opposite trigonal $\mathrm{F}^{-}$faces, and as a result the site symmetry is lowered from Oh to $\mathrm{D}_{3}$. The electronic paramagnetic resonance (EPR) technique is sensitive to low-symmetry distortions, that are why collquirite crystals have been investigated by this technique [10-12]. The aim of this paper is to calculate the EPR parameters
(zero-field splitting D and g factors $g_{\|}, g_{\perp}$ ) of $\mathrm{Cr}^{3+}$ replacing Al in LiCaAlF ${ }_{6}$. The spectroscopic parameter for $\mathrm{LiCaAlF}_{6}: \mathrm{Cr}^{3+}$ are [13] $D q=1587 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, B=786 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, C=3248 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

We will follow the line of our earlier papers [14, 15].

## 2. Basic theoretical background and calculation

Effective spin-Hamiltonian (SH) for 3d ions in a trigonal crystal field is written as [16]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=D\left(S_{z}^{2}-\frac{1}{3} S(S+1)+\mu_{B} g_{\|} B_{z} S_{z}+\mu_{B} g_{\perp}\left(B_{x} S_{x}+B_{y} S_{y}\right)\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The lest two terms in Eq (1) are referred to as the Zeeman term, whereas the first term is known as the zero-field splitting (ZFS) term. D is the ZFS parameter and $g_{\|}, g_{\perp}$ stand for the EPR g-factors. Besides these parameters we will consider also the splitting energy $\delta\left({ }^{2} E\right)$ of first excited state.

Macfarlane $[17,18]$ has considered a $\mathrm{d}^{3}$-ion in a trigonal octahedral center using the highorder perturbation approach. He obtained the following equations for calculating the EPR parameters $\mathrm{D}, g_{\|}, \Delta g=g_{\|}-g_{\perp}$ and the first excited state splitting $\delta\left({ }^{2} E\right)$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
D=\frac{2}{9} \xi^{2} v\left(\frac{1}{D_{1}^{2}}-\frac{1}{D_{3}^{2}}\right)-\sqrt{2} \xi^{2} v^{\prime}\left(\frac{2}{3 D_{1} D_{4}}+\frac{1}{D_{2} D_{3}}+\frac{1}{3 D_{3} D_{4}}+\frac{1}{D_{2} D_{4}}+\frac{4 \sqrt{2} B}{D_{1} D_{4} D_{5}}+\frac{4 B}{D_{3} D_{4} D_{5}}+\frac{9 B}{2 D_{2}^{2} D_{3}}\right)  \tag{2}\\
g_{\|}=g_{s}-\frac{8 \xi k}{3 D_{1}}-\frac{2 \xi^{2}}{3 D_{2}^{2}}\left(k+g_{s}\right)+\frac{4 \xi^{2}}{9 D_{3}^{2}}\left(k-2 g_{s}\right)+\frac{8 \xi^{2}}{9 D_{1}^{2}}\left(k-2 g_{s}\right)-\frac{4 \xi^{2} k}{3 D_{1} D_{2}}+ \\
+\frac{4 \xi^{2} k}{9 D_{1} D_{3}}+\frac{4 \xi^{2} k}{3 D_{2} D_{3}}+\frac{8 \xi k}{9 D_{1}^{2}} v-\frac{8 \sqrt{2} \xi k}{3 D_{1} D_{4}} v^{\prime}  \tag{3}\\
\Delta g=g_{\| 1}-g_{\perp}=\frac{4 \xi k}{3 D_{1}^{2}} v-\frac{4 \sqrt{2} \xi k}{D_{1} D_{4}} v^{\prime}  \tag{4}\\
\delta\left({ }^{2} E\right)=E(\bar{E})-E(2 \bar{A})=4 \xi v\left(-\frac{1}{3 D_{7}}-\frac{4 B}{D_{7} D_{12}}+\frac{B}{D_{7} D_{13}}-\frac{4 B}{D_{10} D_{12}}+\frac{B}{D_{10} D_{13}}\right)+ \\
+2 \sqrt{2} \xi B v^{\prime}\left(\frac{4 \sqrt{3}}{D_{7} D_{12}}-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{D_{7} D_{13}}-\frac{4}{D_{8} D_{12}}-\frac{1}{D_{8} D_{13}}\right) \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

where: $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{s}}=2.003, \xi=k \xi_{0}$ is the spin-orbit constant in a crystal, $k \approx\left(\sqrt{B / B_{0}}+\sqrt{C / C_{0}}\right) / 2$ [19] is the orbital reduction factor with $\mathrm{B}_{0}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{0}$ being the Racah parameters for a free ion and B and C
the Racah parameters in a crystal [20]. For $\mathrm{Cr}^{3+}$ free ion we take [19] $\mathrm{B}_{0}=1030 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, \mathrm{C}_{0}=3850$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ and $\xi_{0}=273 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

The zeroth-order energy denominations $D_{i}$ are defined in tems of Racah parameters and crystal field strength Dq as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
D_{1}=\Delta=10 D q, & D_{2}=15 B+4 C, \\
D_{3}=\Delta+9 B+3 C, & & D_{4}=\Delta+12 B, \\
D_{5}=2 \Delta+3 B, & D_{7}=\Delta+6 B, & D_{8}=\Delta+6 B, \\
D_{10}=\Delta, & D_{12}=\Delta+14 B+3 C, D_{13}=\Delta+5 B .
\end{array}
$$

The trigonal field parameters $v$ and $v^{\prime}$ can be expressed using the superposition model of crystal field [21] as:
$v=\frac{18}{7} \bar{A}_{2}(R)\left(3 \cos ^{2} \theta-1\right)+\frac{40}{21} \bar{A}_{4}(R)\left(35 \cos ^{4} \theta-30 \cos ^{2} \theta+3\right)+\frac{40 \sqrt{2}}{3} \bar{A}_{4} \sin ^{3} \theta \cos \theta$
$v^{\prime}=-\frac{6 \sqrt{2}}{7} \bar{A}_{2}(R)\left(3 \cos ^{2} \theta-1\right)+\frac{10 \sqrt{2}}{21} \bar{A}_{4}(R)\left(35 \cos ^{4} \theta-30 \cos ^{2} \theta+3\right)+\frac{20}{3} \bar{A}_{4} \sin ^{3} \theta \cos \theta$

In these equations $\bar{A}_{2}(R)$ and $\bar{A}_{4}(R)$ are the intrinsic parameters of the model. For the transition metal ions in octahedral impurity centers $\bar{A}_{4}(R)=3 D q / 4$ [22].
$\theta$ is the angle between the $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ axis and metal-ligand chemical bond. In an ideal octahedron, $\theta=\arccos (1 / \sqrt{3}) \approx 54.7^{\circ}$; in a real (even undoped) crystal this angle differs from that value because of distortions.

The ratio $\bar{A}_{2}(R) / \bar{A}_{4}(R) \approx 10.5$ [23] we use for calculation.
Precise value of $\theta$ can be found from the X-ray diffraction data for a given crystal. The value of the angle $\theta$ differs for the doped crystal from that in the host crystal due to the differences in the mass and ionic radii between the substituted and substituting ions [19]. The value of $\theta$ can be determined by fitting the calculated spin-Hamiltonian parameters to those deduced from experimental EPR spectra. Equating D to the experimental value [15] yields the numerical value of $\theta$, which corresponds to the angle between the $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ axis and "impurity ion-ligand" chemical
bond in the doped crystal. Experimental [11] and calculated (this work) SH and trigonal parameters for $\mathrm{LiCaAlF}_{6}$ doped with $\mathrm{Cr}^{3+}$ :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
k=0.8960 & \\
D\left(10^{-4} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)(\exp .[11])=-1010 & \delta\left({ }^{2} E\right),\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}, \text { calc. }\right)=5.75 \\
D\left(10^{-4} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)(\text { calc. })=-1014 & g_{\|}(\exp .[11])=1.974(1) \\
\theta(\mathrm{deg})=54.97 & g_{\|}(\text {calc. })=1.963(8) \\
v\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)=-296 & g_{\perp}(\exp .[11])=1.974(2) \\
v^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)=206 & g_{\perp}(\text { calc. })=1.964(8)
\end{array}
$$

As can be seen from above results, the calculated SH parameters are in reasonable agreement with experimental values. The value of the angle $\theta$ is very close to that one for an ideal octahedron, thus suggesting small trigonal deformation along the $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ axis. In some other crystals this deformation is greater. For example, the values of $\theta$ for $\mathrm{Cr}^{3+}$ in $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{NaGaF}_{6}$ are [24] 55.2 ${ }^{\circ}$ and $53.2^{\circ}$ for two $\mathrm{Cr}^{3+}$ positions in this crystal.

## 3. Conclusions

The main purpose of this paper was to study theoretically the local trigonal angles $\mathrm{Cr}^{3+}$ centers in hexagonal fluorides $\mathrm{LiCa}(\mathrm{Al}) \mathrm{F}_{6}$, from their EPR spectra, by using the perturbation formulas for the spin Hamiltonian parameters ( $\mathrm{D}, g_{\|}, g_{\perp}$ ) in trigonally distorted octahedral, based on the cluster approach. The results of calculations of the EPR g-factors are in reasonable agreement with experimental values.
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